

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/502,213	09/17/2004	Roger Minoretti	GRIMM 235-KFM	9179
7	590 11/16/	. ·	EXAMINER	
Karl F Milde Jr			LEWIS, RALPH A	
Milde & Hoffb			A DOLLAR OF	D . DED . W (DED
10 Bank Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 460		•	3732	
White Plains,	NY 10606		DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

NIT

***	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/502,213	MINORETTI ET AL	MINORETTI ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ralph A. Lewis	3732		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	s	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by: Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commur BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on a 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 3)□ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncondition.	This action is non-final. owance except for formal materials		rits is	
Disposition of Claims	•	·		
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9,16 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 10-15 and 18-23 is/are objected. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at a subject to by the Example 200 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the case 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the subject to by the subject to subject to be subjected to by the subject to sub	to. Ind/or election requirement. miner. So is/are: a) accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be held in abeyant correction is required if the drawing.	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Br	ments have been received. ments have been received in a priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	je	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application		

Drawings

The drawings filed 01 September 2006 are approved.

Rejections based on Prior Art

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4–9, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Witzig (US 4,433,956) in view of Testa (US 6,358,255).

Witzig discloses a distractor module that meets all the limitations of applicant's "first distractor module." More particularly, note U-shape with mid section 11, end segments 12 and linear distraction elements 13. Witzig, however, fails to disclose the claimed second distractor module. The present claims call for no interaction or even common use between the first and second distractor modules. A medical supply cabinet or supply store that happened to have both types of distractor modules available would meet the limitations of the present claims, regardless of even whether or not the two modules were even used together. Testa discloses a distractor module 1 comprised of segments (halves) that are hinged together wherein in one half segment can be related to a frontal segment to be distracted and another segment to the chin (Figures 11A, 12). For a doctor or medical supply company to have both a Witzig

Page 3

In response to the present rejection applicant argues that their devices are intended to be used differently from the devices disclosed Witzig and Testa. The examiner does not dispute applicant's assertion, but remains of the firm position that a known device does not become patentable merely because some one comes up with a new different use for the device. More particularly a prior art screw does not become patentable merely because someone intends for it to be screwed into some new material. Applicant fails to set forth in the claims any objectively ascertainable structural distinctions form the prior art devices.

Claims 1–9, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Razdolsky et al (US 5,829,971) in view of Testa (US 6,358,255).

Razdolsky et al disclose a distractor module that meets all the limitations of applicant's "first distractor module." More particularly, note U-shape with mid section 15, end segments 3 and linear distraction elements 8. In regard to claim 3, note Figure 8. Radolsky et al, however, fail to disclose the claimed second distractor module. The present claims call for no interaction or even common use between the first and second distractor modules. A medical supply cabinet or supply store that happened to have both types of distractor modules available would meet the limitations of the present claims, regardless of even whether or not the two modules were even used together. Testa discloses a distractor module 1 comprised of segments (halves) that are hinged

Art Unit: 3732

together wherein in one half segment can be related to a frontal segment to be distracted and another segment to the chin (Figures 11A, 12). For a doctor or medical supply company to have both a Radolsky et al device and a Testa device available in their inventory would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Action Made Final

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-15 and 18-23 are objected to and would be allowable if rewritten in independent form and to include all of the limitations of the claims from which they depend.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to **Ralph Lewis** at telephone number **(571) 272-4712.** Fax (571) 273-8300. The examiner works a compressed work schedule and is unavailable every other Friday. The examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez, can be reached at (571) 272-4964.

R.Lewis November 07, 2006

Primary Examiner